CSU Campus Senate Chairs Meeting  
October 20, 2016

1. **Call to order**  
ASCSU Chair Miller called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

2. **Approval of the agenda**  
The agenda was approved, amended to add Tuition proposal as 12.5. Attendance of Faculty Trustee and Out-of-state travel were later added as Items 16 and 17, without objection.

3. **Approval of minutes of April 17, 2016 meeting**  
The minutes were not available.

4. **ASCSU Report – Chair Christine Miller**  
Miller described her recent activities as ASCSU Chair and plans for upcoming campus visits.

5. **Logistics:**  
a. Convener: Emily Bonney (FU) was elected.  
b. Recorder: Mark Karplus (EB) was elected.  
c. Scheduling: An upcoming meeting may be held at a Northern California campus.  
d. DropBox: There was discussion of whether to include campus staff members in access to DropBox.

6. **Campus shared governance statements (Chico)**  
Chairs described what shared governance statements, if any, exist on their campus. Many campuses rely just on statements in their constitutions and bylaws. A thoughtful statement can strengthen shared governance and collegiality. Statements at SA and LB were recommended. Concerns were raised over the dangers of undermining principles and rights in existing documents. It was noted that turnover of presidents and vice presidents adds to the difficulty of maintaining shared governance, and that expectations regarding shared governance and collegiality need to be made clear as part of administrative searches.

7. **Faculty Trustee nomination strategies (Chico)**  
Miller reviewed the nomination process. There was discussion of what role the Senate Chairs Council might play.

8. **Quantitative Reasoning Task Force (Chico)**  
The QRTF recommendations are awaiting formal action at the ASCSU November plenary.

9. **Completion of Senate structures spreadsheet (Fullerton, attachment)**  
It was suggested that inclusion of faculty leadership in the president’s cabinet be added as a column. Adam Swenson (NO) is placing an editable version in Google docs.
10. Senate veto of presidential action (San Bernardino)
   Possible veto of presidential action or overriding of presidential veto was discussed. Statements of
disagreement or "no confidence on this issue" were suggested as alternatives.

11. General education - survey, etc. (Miller)
   The rationale for the survey was discussed. There was the concern that faculty have access to the
data. The Statewide Senate will be creating a GE working group, perhaps modeled on the Quantitative
Reasoning Task Force.

12. Intellectual property and academic freedom (Miller)
   Miller reviewed recent developments, including the failure of the president at HU to sign their campus
intellectual property policy, discussions at the September ASCSU plenary, and the refusal of the CO to
meet with ASCSU and CFA unless CFA agrees to waive its bargaining rights. ASCSU will be meeting
with CFA separately.

13. Tuition proposal
   AVC Ryan Storm will present on the tuition proposal at the November ASCSU plenary. CSSA is
opposed.

14. Campus Critical Response Teams (Miller)
   In response to campus activism, at least seven campuses have formed or discussed forming critical
response teams. Involvement of faculty leadership varies.

15. Department of Finance visits (Miller)
   Christian Osmena, California Department of Finance, has been visiting campuses related to $1.2
million funding through the Education Insights Center (EdInsights) based at SA. The purpose of the
visits and the participation of faculty in the visits were discussed.

16. Graduation initiative campus plans (Miller)
   Faculty are being engaged to different degrees. Funding is generally going to assessment, advising,
and non-faculty support. SB has a task force well-represented with faculty. SJ has a combined office
of student and faculty success.

17. Attendance of Faculty Trustee
   Attendance of the faculty trustee at campus senate chairs meetings was discussed. M/S/P to invite the
faculty trustee to part of future meetings.

18. Out-of-state travel
   Restrictions on out-of-state travel, for example, to North Carolina, were discussed. The question was
raised as to whether restrictions apply to auxiliary-funded travel.

19. Adjourn
   The meeting adjourned at 2:48 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Mark Karplus (EB), Recorder