Minutes
January 25-26, 2018
Office of the Chancellor

Thursday, January 25, 2018 - 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. - Dumke Auditorium
Senate Social – Academic Preparation & Education Programs Committee Hosting
Thursday 5:15 p.m. to 6:45 p.m., Munitz Lobby

Friday, January 26, 2018 - 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. - Dumke Auditorium

CALL TO ORDER
With a quorum being present, the meeting was called to order.

ROLL CALL
Bakersfield (Millar, Tarjan); Channel Islands (Aloisio, Yudelson); Chico (Ford, Selvester);
Domínguez Hills (Esposito, Norman); East Bay (Fleming, Karplus (SUB)); Fresno (Jenkins(absent),
Schlievert); Fullerton (Bruschke, Shahi, Stohs); Humboldt (Creadon (absent), Eshker); Long Beach
(Janousek, Soni); Los Angeles (Baaske, Riggio); Maritime (Browne, Trevisan); Monterey Bay (Davis
(absent), Waltz); Northridge (Chong, Schutte, Swenson); Pomona (Speak, Urey); Sacramento (Holl,
Krabacher, Miller); San Bernardino (Steﬀel, Ullman); San Diego (Butler-Byrd, Peter (SUB),
Ornatowski); San Francisco (Collins, Sinha, Yee-Melichar); San Jose (Lee, Rodan, Van Selst); San
Luis Obispo (Laver, LoCascio); San Marcos (Barsky, Brodowsky(absent)); Sonoma (Nelson, Reeder);
Stanislaus (Filling, Strahm); Emeritus/Retired Faculty (Pasternack); Office of the Chancellor (Van
Cleve).

Guests: Timothy White, CSU Chancellor, Loren Blanchard, CSU Executive Vice Chancellor,
Academic and Student Affairs; Romey Sabalius, CSU Faculty Trustee; William Blischke, President,
CSU Emeritus and Retired Faculty Association (ERFA); James Swartz, CSU ERFA; Ryan Brown
(CSSA Liaison); Jennifer Eagan, California Faculty Association Liaison; Sheila Thomas, AVC, Self-
Support Strategy and Partnerships/Extended Education; Brad Wells, AVC Business and Finance;
Kara Perkins, Executive Budget Director; Shawn Whalen, Senior Program Officer, College Futures
Foundation; Elizabeth Gonzalez, Portfolio Director, James Irvine Foundation.

Moment of silence for the passing of David Hood, ASCSU colleague

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Agenda approved as amended

APPROVAL OF NOVEMBER 2-3, 2017 MINUTES
Minutes were approved
ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Admissions Advisory Council met, short report will be sent out by Holl.
- Tenure Density Task Force has given report to Chancellor White.
- Presentations/Introductions
- Introduction of Paula Peter from San Diego State.
- Introduction of Simon Rodan from San Jose State.

REPORTS

Chair

Chair’s written report can be found at: http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Chairs_Reports/documents/Chairs_Report_January_2018.pdf

Executive Committee is meeting with CO administration regarding shared governance. Two meetings have occurred and description of meetings has been provided in written chair’s reports. Executive Committee members expressed impressions of the meetings. Affirming to Exec to get comments from senators.

Questions/Answers/Comments:

- Concerns about things that are happening now regarding Early Start/Admissions Advisory Council.
- Concern that cultural shift is not happening fast enough.
- Would like CO to understand that consultation means working with ASCSU. We are representative of the faculty.
- Question. To what extent and in what areas and issues are the faculty to have priority over other stakeholders that exist?
- A. We should look at the Trustee Statement on Collegiality.

Standing committees Academic Affairs (Chair Ullman)

We had an extremely interesting and productive Academic Affairs meeting. Thank you Academic Affairs Committee members! You are engaged and hardworking! In a departure from our typical meeting structure, a substantial amount of our time was spent in conversation with Chancellors’ Office personnel.

However, we’re pleased to bring forward the Project Rebound resolution as a second reading item. Thank you to all who have contributed important insights to this resolution, it is better as result of everyone’s generous contribution of knowledge and expertise. Project Rebound is a program begun at San Francisco State from formerly incarcerated individuals. There are programs on 8 additional campuses (SDSU, Fullerton, San Bernardino, Fresno, Los Angeles, Bakersfield, Long Beach, and Pomona). Project Rebound has tremendous success both in graduation rates and in reduced recidivism.

We are also honored to co-sponsor with Faculty Affairs on student mental health resources to be presented in first read.
We continue our work developing on White paper on Student Success. We will be presenting it as a first read item with resolution at our March Plenary.

In conjunction with APEP, and with representation from Faculty Affairs, and Chancellor’s Office. We had a lively, engaged conversation with James Minor and colleagues about the proposal for an implementation outcomes studies to assess the impact of policies changes on student success. Dr. Minor stated that this was the first of a series of discussions on this evaluation. I got the sense he was listening, time will tell. The Chancellor’s Office has contracted with WestEd to conduct the assessment. The group of discussed both the formative and summative implementation studies and reviewed, in the time available, the primary areas of assessment: the course design, student placement, credit accumulation, early start impact, and multiple measures validity.

Chris Mallon provided us with an update on the Doctorate of Nursing Practice. It is now approved, and the Title 5 changes can be made. Additionally, she updated us on Math/Quantitative Reasoning Development activities in the areas of pedagogy, course development and assessment in support of EO1110

Gerry Hanley, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Academic Technology Services, discussed the Legislative Analysts Office (LAO) report that evaluates CSU Cross-Campus Online Education program and found it lacking. In part to address the LAO recommendations Dr. Hanley also demonstrated the QUOTITLY service that is a pilot program that provides an interface (https://www.calstateonline.net/General-Education-Courses), in a KAYAK style that links with ASSIST to identify general education courses in the CSU and Community colleges that are online, hybrid or face or face. It also provides a brief self-assessment for students to use to determine if a fully online class is a wise option for them.

Questions/Comments
• Hope that concerns addressed.
• Comment to improve white paper on student success.
• APEP (Barsky) – Good morning.
• Most of APEP’s meeting yesterday was spent engaging with staff from the Chancellor’s Office.
• We first met with Eric Forbes, who brought Darlene Daclan, Director of Academic and Student Affairs Business Systems, who walked us through the updates that are being made in the student system in connection with academic preparation changes following EO 1110, both the changes that are being made immediately to retrofit the current Remediation processes and some longer term plans for changes (e.g., inclusion of H.S. Area G courses in the math GPA).
• We next joined Academic Affairs for the meeting with James Minor on the “Proposed Implementation and Outcome Studies on Recent CSU Policy Changes Related to Academic Preparation” that Chair Ullman described in her report.
• Immediately following that meeting in the Coronado room (this floor), we raced back up to our 4th floor conference room where Marquita Grenot-Scheyer was patiently waiting for us. As background for what I am about to tell you next, I should mention that there are three areas of chronic need for teachers in California: Special Education; Mathematics & Science; and Bilingual Education. We learned that the Governor’s budget contains a $100M of one-time funding for
recruitment, preparation and retention of special education teachers. The two foci of this initiative will be teacher residencies and district-based solutions. We also learned of the appointment of a new Director of Teacher Education and Public School Programs: Fred Uy (professor and chair of the Division of Curriculum and Instruction in the Charter College of Education at CSU Los Angeles). Dr. Uy will also be one of the co-directors of the Center for the Advancement of Instruction in Quantitative Reasoning (CAIQR); the other co-director has been, and continues to be, Zee Cline. There are two advisory boards being established for CAIQR. One of these will be the CAIQR Advisory Board; this will have representative from multiple stakeholder groups, and ASCSU should be receiving a request to fill two of the seats on this council. The other will be a Board of Faculty Liaisons, one from each campus; the calls for faculty to serve on this board will go through the Provost’s Offices on the campuses.

- We did have a little time to discuss resolutions. You have presumably seen the Chancellor’s Office response to our November relation on Standards for Quantitative Reasoning. We have not entirely given up on making the argument that this is needed, but we are not bringing another resolution today. We officially have a second reading item on the agenda for this plenary on an Alternative Process for C-ID Course Review, but after reviewing all of the feedback we received following the first reading, we decided in our December interim meeting that we would no longer be advocating for this alternative process. When we get to this point in our agenda (probably tomorrow) we will be moving that this resolution be tabled.

**Questions/Comments**

- **Question** about how are we going to continue to track outcomes studies? A. No idea yet.
- Faculty Affairs (Norman) – Briefed on new research opportunities. Briefed on some changes in the background checks. First reading co-sponsorship on need for increased Counseling.
  - Discussing attacks on faculty of many forms, from online to bullets.
  - Discussing campus mascots. Annual reward of distinguished service to the ASCSU being discussed.
  - Cal State online teaching assignment discussion. Faculty Innovation awards being discussed. Would like to discuss bullying in future.

**Questions/Comments**

- **Question** about Lawsuit influencing research funding? A. Funding from cap and trade offender.
- Information about awards given.
- **Question/Concern** about how innovation will be defined. A. Innovation in teaching.
- FGA (Filling) – Visit from CSSA legislative representative on priorities of CSSA. Lobbying for several bills. Discussion of tuition increases. Resolution on Tuition and Fee increases. Legislative recommendations will be coming forward in March. First reading resolution on Education Learning Lab. Working on scheduling Advocacy Day in April.

**Questions/Comments**

- Concern about loan rates for student loans.
- Concern about maximizing financial aid dollars.
Romey Sabalius - CSU Faculty Trustee

- Trustee Sabalius’ written report emailed to ASCSU. He has visited Humboldt and Chico. Is planning on visiting the campuses strategically. Described how campus visits are planned and organized. He has been asking to meet with Athletic Directors from campuses. Discussed unique programs at Humboldt and Chico. Report on funding from Governor, shortfall from what was expected. Tuition increase an information item on next month agenda, and may be an action item in March. Discussion of possible postponing of tuition and fee discussion.

Questions/Comments

- Concern about athletes and need for them to be students.
- Comment about visiting classroom and department chairs.
- Question about how much does the system spend on athletics? A. Does not know, but is familiar with San Jose State.
- Concern that increase in budget from Governor tied to student success, what about the mandated increases? We are already short of mandatory costs.
- Comment on meeting with Executive Committee, all Trustees should, but especially Faculty Trustee. Perhaps can also meet with Senate Chairs as a group.

Other committees and committee liaisons

- GEAC (Baaske) – Report indicating nine CSU campuses sought extension for implementation of EO 1100. No permitted extensions for EO 1110. Eight of the nine campuses received extension. Ninth withdrew their request after a conversation with the CO. Discipline review groups that require competency in intermediate algebra are meeting. GEAC recommended that Defense Language Institute courses be allowed to count for GE credit. AP Computer Science will count for B4. GE assessment plans for each campus will be collected and best practices will be identified. Review of guiding notes for articulation of classes.

Questions/Comments

- Question about are faculty the majority of voting members on the committee? A. Ruling is that ex-officio member of GEAC is voting member. Yes, faculty are a majority. Membership of GEAC is likely to be in Fall. 11 faculty members from CSU and CCC, 7 representatives from CSU and CCC offices.
- Question. Is there a charge with a composition? A. The committee belongs to the Chancellor. There is a charge in EO 1100, includes membership and voting rights for GEAC. Changes in the Fall are expected to reflect changes in EO 1100.

General Education Task Force (Ullman) –

- We met last week in Sacramento, we’re currently on a monthly meeting schedule. We’ve spent a lot of time in general discussion of broad issues surrounding GE. We’ve followed the general areas highlighted in ASCSU resolution in these discussions. THE GETF is working very well together and I believe we’ll all learning a lot from one another. These general discussions take time, but gradually we move forward. We have consensus on some broad
areas, the importance GE, the need to make GE programs student centric and the need to help students understand the intentionality of the GE program. We also have consensus that we need to address the numerous stakeholders and interested groups in GE. While we might think it’s obvious that GE matters, not all outside the academy feel the same. We also have consensus on the need to consider what matters most in GE for our students now. We also are in final stages of coordinating a meeting with an external expert on general education to work with us on current trends and best practices in GE. Finally, we plan to model the type of consultation we expect. So, plan for requests for feedback and updates and please continue to give us feedback. Our next meeting is February 9th.

• **Question.** To what extent are the students participating?  **A.** The two students are involved.

• **Question.** Are there expected major changes for GE coming out from this process soon?  **A.** Do not know.

• **Question.** Will task force be consulting and conferring with WASC?  **A.** Comment on Ethnic Studies anniversary.

• **SB1440** – (Van Selst) – Wants to know if changes to Math 110 will impact your discipline. Looking for Agriculture reviewer for C-ID.

**Discussion Items**

*Loren Blanchard – Executive Vice Chancellor of Academic and Student Affairs: (Time Certain: Thursday 11:00 a.m.)*

• Budget discussion will likely dominate BoT. Enrollment management, title 5 change, Wang award ceremony will also be discussed.

• Providing background information on enrollment management to the board, including impaction and redirection so the board understands the process we use. Mandate in budget to adopt policies on redirection and impaction. Rich discussion on proposed policies in enrollment management work group, and in admissions advisory council. These are an information item at this board meeting, and will likely be amended before March.

• Title 5 change makes tuition exemption available to more students, adult school and community college transfers. A legislative mandate. Also an information item.

• Wang awards ceremony. Wang award categories are better aligned with CSU mission. Four categories in faculty teaching, scholarship, service, and innovation, as well as a staff member award.

• Initial budget proposal is too low, half the rate of inflation. Advocacy will be important. If it is important in any year to have a united front, this year is that year.

• Each of the six GI 2025 work groups have met. Meeting with composition and early start directors answering questions about Early Start for 2018. Will be responding to questions on website. State continues to be interested in work on student success. Submitted a legislatively mandated report about reducing excess units. Also report on number of tenure-track faculty hired. Also on number of new sections. How dollars are used to implement high impact practices. Presentation to legislators in February.
• General conversation about shared governance has begun, and EVC Blanchard is highly encouraged about conversations to date.
• Tenure-density Task Force Report is being reviewed by Chancellor White. May be out as early as next week.
• Will meet with Senate Chairs.

Questions/Comments
• Resolutions from campuses express great concerns about EO 1100 and 1110. Other constituencies have also commented on timeline and substance of executive orders. Concerns about shared governance, timelines, and whether this would benefit students. Question about difference in perceptions. A. We may have reached a point where we are agreeing to disagree. Campus senate groups are working with CO on those that need more time. This process is going forward. We are having conversations so that we can move forward and do things more effectively regarding shared governance.
• Rainy day of $3.5B, we are asking for a small percentage of that ($200M). Return on investment for CSU is 5 to 1, so $200M would result in $1B. The rainy day is today for the CSU and for our students.
• Question about reaction to tuition increases at BoT. A. Governor wants us to cut costs. We will present how we have cut costs. We will present areas that will suffer in the CSU as a result of budget cuts because of pulling back on funding.
• Admissions Advisory Council recommendation to increase eligibility requirements. Concern about race and class impacts of this. Is there a correlation between EO 1100, EO 1110 and this proposal? A. Draft proposal. Need more discussion. Would like discussion to happen before giving impressions.
• Changes seem to be coming from outside of CSU. Question. How much of the student success changes come from external foundations? A. Believe that the ideas came from professional groups related to those areas. Not tied to foundations. Some foundations have provided support for these efforts. Not sure when we became affiliated with Complete College America. Central Valley Higher Education Consortium – some campuses are participants, CSU is not. Perhaps not a formal affiliation with Complete College America.
• Question. Are you willing to commit to find consensus on shared governance? A. We are working towards that. Yes. We are working on having clarity on a process.
• Question. Do we have the numbers on tenure density from last year? What percent of the CSU budget is direct instruction? Has that gone up? A. Can get back to us on that.
• Economic times are very good in California. Need to make case for more funding to governor. A. Need to unify around message in advocacy.
• Comment about non-instructional increases relative to instructional. Comment about relative reduction of tenure track faculty with respect to number of administrators. Hope that other campuses have access to these numbers. A. Need to look carefully at level of growth that needs to happen on faculty side. Some of the dollars are inclusive of student fees.
**Academic Senate CSU**

- **Question.** Are there sufficient applications for Wang award in each category? Do we need to make awards on each category? **A.** Yes, it is quite competitive, especially for faculty. Sometimes staff numbers are low. Do not need to make an award every year for every category, but that has not happened.
- Problem is we are funded for 1% increase, but we are growing by 3%.
- Relationship between SFR and Tenure Density and graduation rates. Strong correlation. **A.** EVC Blanchard agrees with this.

**Sheila Thomas – Assistant Vice Chancellor, Self-Support Strategy and Partnerships/Extended Education (Information Item: Executive Order 1099 - Time Certain: Thursday 1:00 p.m.)**

- Power point presentation on revisions to EO 1099. EO revision timeline presented. Starts in 2012 with Joint Legislative Audit of CSU Extended Education. System wide task force formed to define “supplanting”. Coded Memo issued to presidents. AB 716. PERB settlement with CFA to provide input. Discussions with CFA on proposed changes. Final review of changes with CFA. Now reviewing with presidents, extended university commission, and with ASCSU now.
- Definition of Supplanting coming from education code. Other changes include changes in the way campuses market and recruit for competing programs, how students are charged for required summer courses.

**Questions/Comments**

- **Question.** What is the basic reasoning on asking the Presidents to confer when offering a program that may compete with other campus programs? **A.** Amended to say campuses should confer adding outreach and recruiting, as well as programs.
- **Question.** Can we get a copy of the slides. **A.** Will ask.
- **Question.** If a student completes a self-support program and subsequently decides they want to enroll in a state-support master’s program, can any of those programs taken prior be used in the state support program. **A.** No limit on number of units to transfer in for matriculated students. It would depend on program.
- **Question.** Student fails capstone course, needs a single course. Currently student is required to enroll in 0-6 unit bracket. Taking course through open university would be cheaper. Would there be any incentive in this scenario to help students to graduate? **A.** This happens quite often. Using the program for matriculated students is problematic. There seems to be a work around. Do not recommend Open University to meet these needs.
- **Feel free to contact sthomas@calstate.edu (562)951-4795.**

**Jennifer Eagan – CFA Liaison Report (Time Approximate: Thursday 1:30 p.m.)**

- Main focus between now and May is budget advocacy. Jan 4th open letter to Chancellor White and BoT. Asked for $156M more than CSU asked for, to accommodate a 5% enrollment increase. Opportunity to educate our next governor. CFA thought it was a good time to ask for more strategically. Need to be concerned with inputs (enrollment growth) as well as outputs (graduation rates). Turning away large number of CSU eligible students.
• CFA has endorsed Gavin Newsome for governor after going through the process. Newsome clearly stood out in process. Hoping that he will keep a line of communication open with CFA.

• CFA will advocate with the CSU together. Not a good time to be passive with legislators. March 20th BoT action. CFA is against student fee increase, pushing for policies that fund CSU instead. Lobby day will be May 1st.

• Feb 26th, Supreme Court will hear Janus case. Anticipate a negative decision. Will have some visibility events on campuses about that. Asking CSU to say positive things about unions, collective bargaining.

• March 5th, DACA is due to expire. CFA will have a representative at meeting with CSU to strategize about the end of DACA.

• CFA was part of the tenure density task force, but CFA has decided to not be a signatory to that report. Final document not strong enough to put stamp on. CFA will be writing a separate report on the topic. CFA was happy to be part of the discussion.

• As part of budget ask, will be asking specific amount for tenure-track hiring.

• Unconscious bias workshop has been presented to campuses. Offer to hold one for ASCSU and for CO.

Questions/Comments

• Comment about headlines that presume tuition increase will be voted for. There is a possibility that the BoT will vote against a tuition increase. Last year, the BoT voted 11-8, it was a close vote. As action is prepared, please keep in mind that not all BoT is antagonistic towards goals.

• Comment about coordinated advocacy. Is CFA going to be working as part of the coordinated effort with the CSU. CFA did not know about the meeting last week. May be a miscommunication.

• Question. Why is CFA not a signatory to the tenure density report? When will the report released? A. The report will be released in about a month. CFA wanted goals, the charge of the committee did not include goals.

• Comment about Janus. Would like CFA to focus on broad support issues like this.

• Question. What is the anticipated drop in membership relative to the increase in dues? A. “Fair share” payers amount is about $4M. Dues increase appropriate to weather this, as well as other attacks on unions anticipated.

• March 5th, are there CFA resources for faculty to help students losing their status? Yes.

James Swartz – ERFA Liaison Report (Time Approximate: Thursday 2:00 p.m.)

• CSU ERFA met last fall. Membership is voting on expanding membership to include staff to be eligible for CSU ERFA. Voting closes tomorrow.

• Spring meeting will be in Oakland hosted by CSU East Bay. Fall meeting at CSU Fullerton.
Academic Senate CSU

- Million Shoe campaign has five campuses participating. Would like to invite colleagues from other campuses to participate.
- CSU ERFA would like a spot on the BoT agenda.

Questions/Comments

- **Question** about emeritus staff.
- **Question.** Does staff include administrators?  **A.** No. But many would have been faculty who are included.
- **Question.** Would the name change?  **A.** Will need to discuss at Oakland meeting.

Brad Wells – Associate Vice Chancellor, Business and Finance & Kara Perkins – Executive Budget Director, (Time Certain: Thursday 3:00 p.m.)

- 2018-19 Operating Budget and Tuition Discussion
- California fiscal outlook is positive. Rainy Day Fund reaches $13.5B - maximum. CSU - $92M increase, about 2.7% of our general fund budget or 1.35% of our total operating budget. BoT Request was $283M increase. Including enrollment growth, that would generate $20 million in revenue, there is still a budget gap of $171M needed to fully fund BoT budget. Even removing items, would still leave an unavoidable gap of over $60M.
- Five key goals: Maintain quality, affordability, no impact to student with greatest needs, reduce time-to-degree, want state to fully fund budget request.

Questions/Comments

- **Question** and comments about SUGs.
- **Question** about perceived inefficiency by governor.  **A.** Sometimes it has to do with summer session. Graduation rate. No cost measure we know of. LAO argument has been about excess units at degree.
- **Prior** to 1/10, anticipated receiving $102M, but we got $92M. Position is to move towards $283M. Could have some room to speak with legislatures. May revise.
- **Question** about fee structure. There are different fee structure models. Per unit model has been explored, and per unit price would need to be higher for a full time student.
- **Something** has to give, comment about maintaining quality as top priority.
- **Question** about SUG criteria.  **A.** Tend to be lower income families with low family contribution. Explanation of SUG criteria given.
- **Question.** How much money is there in the facilities and infrastructure account?  **A.** We have spent about $230M per year on capital outlays. There is $232M per year. These usually fund smaller infrastructure and deferred maintenance programs.
- **Question.** Where are there points where ASCSU can weigh in on budget priorities?  **A.** Later. We are at the beginning of the process.
- **Comment** about athletic expenses. USA today says allocations for nine largest CSUs of $194M.
- **Many comments** related to needs of CSU, need to advocate, reasons to advocate.
We do not have a set in stone budget priorities list for the system. Goals presented are talking points for the BoT.

**Shawn Whalen - Senior Program Officer, College Futures Foundation and Elizabeth Gonzalez - Portfolio Director, James Irvine Foundation (Time Certain: Friday 9:30 a.m.)**

- College Futures Foundations (Whalen) – Been around for 10 years. Most grants have gone to scholarship funds, but then switched to investing in people and institutions that support students. Goal is to get more students to baccalaureate degrees in California. Foundation trusts practitioners and people who teach.
- James Irvine Foundation (Gonzalez) – Multi-issue funder in California. Expanding opportunity focusing on inequality. Expanding economic and political opportunity. Funding in areas of the arts, politics, and other issues. Workforce development and education have been a recent focus.

**Questions/Comments**

- **Comment** about the problems with the way graduation initiative was rolled out.
- **Question**. Are the foundations looking at rural and tribal areas and need of teachers in these areas? **A.** We are looking at that. Comments about transfer students.
- Public higher education is struggling with adequate and sustainable funding.
- **Comment** about graduate degree help as well as baccalaureate.
- **Comment** on non-baccalaureate paths and college preparation.
- **Comment** about job preparedness.
- **Question**. What kind of resources are you providing for students? **A.** Yes, wrap around services are needed. Challenge is how this is sustainable.
- **Comment** about CSU dollars go further than other institutions. Have a larger impact.
- **Question**. What roles do you see ASCSU playing in helping you increase student success? **A.** There are different kinds of partners and different agendas. Our objects are less prescriptive.
- **Comment** that faculty development would be helpful.
- **Question**. Do you do advocacy? **A.** We cannot do advocacy on issues.
- **Comment** about research or evidence on initiatives.
- **Comment** about inequality in funding for different schools in California.

**Timothy P. White – CSU Chancellor (Time Certain: Friday 11:00 a.m.)**

- Discussion of gap between BoT budget request and Governor’s budget. In May 2017, Governor dropped expectation from 4% to 3% (which translates to 2% to 1.5% of our operating budget). Less than ½ the rate of inflation. In Jan 2018 reduced to 2.7%. Legislature seems to understand, but executive seems in need of understanding. Amounts to about $60M less. Pension and healthcare costs now part of our budget rather than at the state level. $1.6B that the state has increased recently, translates to $900M, which is smaller than the cut to our budget during the last recession. State’s general fund has increased 59%. We
have seen 1.8% of that increase, $1 per day per student. Important to get our voices aligned in advocacy. Plan is to wait to vote on a tuition increase later, similar to the UC strategy.

- Tenure density task force has given its report to the Chancellor.
- Shared governance meetings are going forward.
- Three presidential searched. SDSU decision may come by the end of next week. Decisions on CSU Bakersfield and CSU Dominguez Hills in March. CSU Fullerton search will try and get someone in place summer of 2019.

**Comments/Questions**

- **Comment** about concerns about shared governance and timeline for changes – reduction in units, latest executive orders. No time to assess data of changes that have happened in last three or four years.
- **Comment/question** about low initial budget and advocacy. **A.** Chancellor thinks we will move from where we are now. In the past that we have focused on legislative side. This year, we seem to have more work to do on the executive side. We should talk about why we matter for California’s future. Talk about minimal investment we are asking for compared to amount of revenue increase.
- **Comment** about SUGs. **A.** There is some interest in changing the SUG system.
- **Question.** Can we get LAO to separate out pension and healthcare from CSU budget?
- **Comment** about early start.
- **Comment** about Chancellor writing editorial for LA Times on budget request.
- **Comment** about having printed material for advocacy that senators can use with talking points, perhaps through the ASCSU and the CO. **A.** These will be forthcoming.
- **Comment** about advocacy approach including raising revenue. **A.** Concerns that come of the new revenue sources expressed.
- **Question.** What would it take to get a more stable, dedicated revenue stream? **A.** Constitutional amendment, such as Prop 98. CCC has such a steady revenue stream.
- **Comment** about SUGs and rearranging accounting of them.
- **Comment** about strategy of lobbying the executive versus the legislature.
- **Question.** Do you know of any legal precedent that the legislature has to reallocate the amount over the mandatory amount for the rainy day fund? **A.** I don’t know.
- **Comment** about timing of voting on tuition increase. Talk of waiting until July, implementing in Spring. Might better align with the budget process rather than the academic year. Would it be better to have a multi-year plan?

**Ryan Brown – CSSA Liaison Report (Time Approximate: Friday 1:00 p.m.)**

- Discussions about tuition increase on path moving forward. Looking also at advocacy and the May revise. CSSA has no formal position on tuition increase, or future tuition increases, despite some talk of otherwise. CSSA resolution adding students for shared governance. Asking for a change in review of higher administration. Want AS prioritized in shared governance resolution. Working on advocacy and civic engagement, working on registering
students. Goal to register an additional 10% of student body. Long term goal of registering an additional 25%. System wide Womens’ Day and a resolution on sexual misconduct.

Questions/Comments
- Comment about how student are looking at/studying student loan debt.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

Shared Governance and Consultation in the CSU

Project Rebound Program: Support and Expansion for the Formerly Incarcerated

An Alternative Process for C-ID Course Review

Resolution Of Concern Related to the Governor’s Proposal of Education Learning Lab

Revisions to Faculty Trustee Recommendation Criteria and Procedures

Tuition Increases in the California State University

Counseling Support Services and Student Success

2018 Legislative Advocacy Positions of the Academic Senate of the California State University

Call for Continued Advocacy for Adequate Funding of the California State University in Lieu of a Tuition Increase

**ADJOURNMENT**

Respectfully submitted by Simone Aloisio (Channel Islands), ASCSU Secretary